

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/102,939	06/23/1998	MARTIN BICHSEL	P/1336-101	2391	
2352	7590 09/10/2002		•		
OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN 1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 100368403			EXAMINER		
			OPSASNICK, MICHAEL N		
¥.=	,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2654	 -	
			DATE MAILED: 09/10/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

QV

					II.			
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	/			
•		09/102,9	39	BISCHEL				
Office Action Summary		Examine	r	Art Unit				
			N. Opsasnick	2654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIONS of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply we apply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no encition. days, a reply within the statory period will apply and will. by statute, cause the apply.	vent, however, may a reply be atutory minimum of thirty (30) o will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro plication to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered timely om the mailing date of this co	<i>y.</i> ommunication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>11 June 2002</u>	•					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2	b) This action is	s non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 2-22,27-55,59 and 60 is/are	pending in the app	olication.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	e withdrawn from co	onsideration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-22,27-55,59 and 60</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	') ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) 🔲	The proposed drawing correction filed			proved by the Examin	er.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
	The translation of the foreign lan Acknowledgment is made of a claim for							
Attachmen	_	•						
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa			nary (PTO-413) Paper No nal Patent Application (P1				

Art Unit: 2654

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-19, 27-29,30-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kenyon et al (4450531).

As per claims 1,30, Kenyon et al (4450531) teaches a method for compression of an electric audio signal (abstract) wherein:

the amplitude of said audio signal [or of a derived digital or analog signal] is normalized to a predetermined range D (as normalized reference signal -- abstract, col. 4 lines 36-52);

said audio signal is mapped using a non-linear function onto a second determined range of values W in order to obtain an emphasis of sensitive value ranges (as referenced signal is zeroed and filled into a length R -- Fig. 1)

Art Unit: 2654

the result is stored in electronic memory form (as stored reference elements -- col. 4 lines 53-59).

As per claim 2, <u>Kenyon et al (4450531)</u> teaches a nonlinear function to emphasize a range of values (col. 5 lines 3063).

As per claims 3,31, and 32 <u>Kenyon et al (4450531)</u> teaches binary 3- 16 bits (as Fourier transform -- col. 5 lines 55-60 -- it is notoriously well known to perform a fourier transform with a 2ⁿ number of bits for more efficient processing)

As per claims 4,33, and 34, <u>Kenyon et al (4450531)</u> teaches band pass filtering with band signal attenuation (fig. 1)

As per claims 5, 35-37, <u>Kenyon et al (4450531)</u> teaches banded signals ranging from 4-10 bands(Fig. 6)

As per claim 6, Kenyon et al (4450531) teaches a method for broadcast signals, which include audio (10 hz – 20khz), col. 1 lines 5-13)

As per claims 7,38, <u>Kenyon et al (4450531)</u> teaches band pass filtering, rectifying, and subtraction with Q matched filters(Fig. 5)

Application/Control Number: 09/102,939 Page 4

Art Unit: 2654

As per claims 8-17,39-53 <u>Kenyon et al (4450531)</u> teaches amplitude control, convolution calculations (and coefficients), division stages, and rms energy value calculations (col. 6 line 25 – col. 8 line 20).

As per claims 18,19,27-29, Kenyon et al (4450531) teaches a data carrier as television broadcast (col. 1 lines 7-12), and processor performing memory calculations and decision logic (Fig. 2; esp. subblocks 64 and 66).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4.. Claims 20,21, and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kenyon et al (4450531) in view Uehara (5754798).

Art Unit: 2654

As per claims 20,21, and 54, Kenyon et al (4450531) does not explicitly teach a power save mode when processing is not needed, however, Uehara (5754798) teaches a power save mode in which SMRAM states are compared to determine a power save mode (col. 21, line 60 - col. 22 line 4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of portable transmission devices to modify the teachings of Kenyon et al (4450531) with a power saving mode because it would advantageously save the power supply energy and extend the operating time of the device (Uehara (5754798), Fig. 1b, col. 1 lines 10-14).

5. Claims 22 and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kenyon et al (4450531) in view Hoffberg et al (5901246).

As per claims 22 and 55, Kenyon et al (4450531) does not explicitly teach the exact structure/device to perform the calculations; however, Hoffberg et al (5901246) teaches a local processor located in a wristwatch (col. 80, lines 17-20), in which the device is used to broadcast information (col. 80, lines 17-20). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of broadcasting signals to adapt the technique of Kenyon et al (4450531) into a wristwatch device because it would allow for the concealment of the device (col. 80 line 20).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 6/11/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. On page 4 of the response, applicant states that the new claim 60 (newly amended from old claim 1), is same in scope as original claim 1. Based on this statement, the preamble of

Art Unit: 2654

claim 60, 'a method for storing an electric signal representing recorded ambient noise in compressed form' is same in scope as previous claim 1, 'method for compressing an electric audio signal which is produced in the process of recording ambient noise by means of an electroacoustic transducer', according to the applicant's representative. Looking at the claim language of the preamble above, claim 60 pertains to a "method for compressing an electric audio signal' (via the 'same in scope' statement). With this in mind, and proceeding to the arguments presented by the applicant starting on page 5 of the response, examiner argues:

- a. Kenyon teaches samples taken from a broadcast signal -- hence, sampling (as admitted on pg. 5 of the response),
- b. the claim language 'periodically recording samples of the ambient noise using a sound transducer' has no patentable weight because the scope of this claim language is the same as in original claim 1 (as declared by applicant's representative), wherein original claim 1 pertained to a method of compression -- 'method for compressing an electric audio signal which is produced in the process of recording ambient noise by means of an electroacoustic transducer'.
- c. applicant's reference to the difference between the prior art features and applicant's disclosure (in particular, the nature of the ambient noise, normalizing amplitude, and the non-linear mapping function) pertains to the specification, and not necessarily the scope of the claim language. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Art Unit: 2654

d. the scope of the storage feature, again, is same in scope as original filed claim 1
 (as established by applicant), and therefore, rejected under the same rationale.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872 9314,

(for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT") Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Opsasnick, telephone number (703)305-4089, who is available Tuesday-Thursday, 9AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Marsha Banks-Harold, can be reached at (703)305-4379. The facsimile phone number for this group is (703)872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group 2600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4750, the 2600 Customer Service telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

mno 9/5/02 Vijayblhawan 917/02

VIJAY CHAWAN PRIMARY EXAMINER